

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,729	09/698,729 10/27/2000		Brandon Camp	SprintIDF1398(4000-00700	SprintIDF1398(4000-00700) . 6172	
21396	7590	03/15/2004		EXA	MINER	
STEVEN J.	FUNK		.•	TANG, KENNETH		
6450 SPRINT	T PARKV	VAY				
MS: KSOPHN0312 3A371				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251				2127	2127	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/698,729	CAMP ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth Tang	2127
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition are accomposition.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and accomposition and accomposition are accomposition.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sinon is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/329,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Klein (US 5,835,763).
- 3. As to claim 1, Klein teaches a process for processing a batch job, comprising: wrapping the batch job to create an application programming interface for communication with a batch framework, the batch framework comprising a method to execute the batch job; and invoking the batch framework according to a predetermined schedule (col. 3, lines 31-54).
- 4. Klein fails to explicitly disclose using classes to dispatch the batch jobs, even though Klein does teach a method to execute the batch job (col. 11, lines 7-11 and col. 5, lines 49-54) and also teaches using objects in object-oriented programming (col. 10, lines 10-11). It is well known in the art and obvious that classes can be used in batch processing systems because it is a standard in object oriented programming.

ł

Art Unit: 2127

5. As to claim 2, Klein teaches the process of claim 1 wherein the batch job resides locally with the batch framework (col. 5, line 4).

- 6. As to claim 3, Klein teaches the process of claim 1 wherein the batch job resides remotely from the batch framework (col. 5, lines 12-13).
- 7. As to claims 4-6, Klein teaches the process of claim 1 wherein the batch framework is invoked by a scheduling service (col. 3, lines 39-40).
- 8. Claims 7-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein (US 5,835,763) in view of Swartz et al. (hereinafter Swartz) (US 6,625,651 B1).
- 9. As to claims 7-8, Klein fails to explicitly teach the process wherein the scheduling service is AutoSys. However, Swartz discloses processing a batch job using Autosys (col. 20, lines 56-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the use of Autosys to the invention of Klein because Autosys is a job management system.
- 10. As to claim 9, Swartz teaches the process of claim 8 wherein the command line parameter is a Unix shell script (col. 4, line 52).

Application/Control Number: 09/329,456

Art Unit: 2127

17.

- 11. As to claim 10, Swartz teaches the process of claim 8 wherein the command line parameter is a Windows NT batch file (col. 4, line 50).
- 12. As to claims 11-12, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claims 7-8.
- 13. As to claim 13, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claim 9.
- 14. As to claim 14, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claim 10.
- 15. As to claims 15-16, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claims 7-8.
- 16. As to claim 17, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claim 9.
- 18. As to claim 18, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claim 10.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-5334. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 7:00PM, Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/329,456

Art Unit: 2127

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3/3/04 kt

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5